

From: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 4/30/2012 1:09:39 PM
To: "Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Richard Fetzter/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Fetzter.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>; "Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Hi Kelley,

This past Friday I know we had discussed sampling reverse osmosis (RO) systems that we encounter during round 2 sampling. I looked into the previous RO sample that was collected in the first round. It was HW39-RO collected on 2/3/12 and was only analyzed for metals analysis. The logbook noted that the RO system was to remove barium and that it only produces 1 gallon per hour. Due to the low water production, no purging could be accomplished from the RO system and filling all the appropriate sample jars for a full sample would be very time consuming (especially if splits are needed). At that particular residence (HW39) a wellhead sample and a kitchen faucet sample was collected for the full suite on analysis. I want to check with you to verify that you want a complete sample collected from each RO system we encounter.

Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Kelley Chase [mailto:Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Graves, Suddha
Cc: Richard Fetzter; Richard Rupert
Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Suddha -

As discussed - additional info for the re-sampling of the 15 locations.

- Kelley